

AIST-PS-2008-011

# Powers of positive elements in constructive $C^*$ -algebras

Takamura Hiroki  
高村博紀

National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology  
Research Center for Verification and Semantics

独立行政法人 産業技術総合研究所  
システム検証研究センター

算譜科学研究速報

Programming Science  
Technical Report



# Powers of positive elements in constructive $C^*$ -algebras

Hiroki TAKAMURA

## Abstract

In this note we show that Ogasawa's theorem has a constructive proof in **BISH** of Bishop's constructive mathematics which means we use intuitionistic logic rather than classical logic, together with some suitable foundation. Ogasawa's theorem is about powers of positive elements in  $C^*$ -algebras. In [17], the author introduces an elementary theory of  $C^*$ -algebras in **BISH**, however powers of positive elements in constructive  $C^*$ -algebras is not deeply treated. In this note we investigate that constructive treatment of Ogasawa's theorem.

## 1 Introduction

In [13], Ogasawa has shown that the following theorems that are about powers of positive elements in  $C^*$ -algebras. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}_+$

- if  $0 \leq x \leq y$  then  $0 \leq x^{1/2} \leq y^{1/2}$
- if  $0 \leq x \leq y$  implies always  $0 \leq x^2 \leq y^2$  then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is commutative.

In [14], these statements are generalised, however these proofs proceed based on the term of the spectrum of an element. The spectrum of an element is difficult to treat in Bishop style constructive mathematics, indeed [7], there are Brouwerian examples that we cannot establish elementary properties of spectra which are obtained in classical mathematics. Hence, these proofs are not acceptable in **BISH**. In this note, we will show that these statements have constructive proofs in **BISH**.

Related works are as follows. Bishop [2] described commutative Banach algebras and a special case of commutative  $C^*$ -algebras which consists of normable operators on a Hilbert space in constructive mathematics. In his book, he proves a constructive version of the Gelfand representation theorem for the special case mentioned above. These results appear in Bishop and Bridges [3], and Bridges [5]. In Bridges [6] and Havea's PhD thesis [9], the authors study constructive non-commutative Banach algebras. In Spitters' PhD thesis [16], he claims that the proof of the Gelfand representation theorem in Bishop [2] also works well in the general setting of a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra and he gives a constructive

proof of the theorem. The area of constructive von Neumann algebras is studied by Viřă in her PhD thesis [19], and also by Spitters [16].

In this note, we assume familiarity with constructive mathematics, as found in [2, 3, 5], and with the theory of  $C^*$ -algebra, as found in [4, 12, 14, 15, 18, 20], also with the constructive treatment for operator algebras, as found in [9, 19, 16].

## 2 Constructive $C^*$ -algebras

An *involution* on an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  is a map  $*$  :  $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}(xy)^* &= y^*x^*, \\ (x^*)^* &= x, \\ (ax + by)^* &= \bar{a}x^* + \bar{b}y^*,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{a}, \bar{b}$  are conjugates of  $a$  and  $b$ .

A *\*-algebra* over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  is an algebra with an involution. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an algebra over a complex field  $\mathbb{C}$  with unit  $\mathbf{e}$ . An algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called a *Banach algebra* if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Banach space with  $\|\mathbf{e}\| = 1$ , and  $\|xy\| \leq \|x\|\|y\|$  for all  $x, y$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

Note that by definition a Banach space in constructive mathematics is separable, hence a Banach algebra is separable.

**Definition 1** (Constructive  $C^*$ -algebra). A Banach  $*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called a  *$C^*$ -algebra* if  $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$  for all  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space, we denote by  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the set of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . An operator  $T \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be *compact* if  $\{T(x) : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is totally bounded. Every compact operator is normable and has its adjoint. The set of all compact operators on a Hilbert space is an example of constructive  $C^*$ -algebra.

**Definition 2** (Concrete  $C^*$ -algebra). A self-adjoint  $*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of normable elements of  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *concrete  $C^*$ -algebra* if it is complete and separable with respect to the norm.

Classically, the set  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is an example of  $C^*$ -algebra. However,  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is not an example of constructive  $C^*$ -algebra, since, as the following Brouwerian example [11] shows that every bounded linear operator in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is not normable.

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a binary sequence and  $\{e_n\}$  a orthonormal basis for  $\ell^2$ . Define a linear operator  $A$  on  $\ell^2$  by

$$Ax = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n e_n,$$

where  $x_n$  is the  $n$ th component of  $x$ . Then it is easy to see that  $A$  is a self-adjoint. If  $A$  is normable, then either  $\|A\| > 0$  in which case  $a_n = 1$  for some  $n$ , or else  $\|A\| < 1$  and  $a_n = 0$  for all  $n$ . Thus, the statement

Every bounded operator on a Hilbert space has a norm

entails the Limit Principle of Omniscience (LPO).

### 3 Positive elements

In this section, we study positive elements in constructive  $C^*$ -algebra. An element  $x$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is *normal* if  $x^*x = xx^*$  and is *self-adjoint* if  $x = x^*$  holds. Trivially, any self-adjoint element is a normal element: for any  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  there exist self-adjoint elements  $x_1, x_2$  such that  $x = x_1 + ix_2$ , where  $x_1 = (x + x^*)/2, x_2 = (x^* - x)/2i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. For each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , the set

$$Sp(x) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (x - \lambda e)^{-1} \text{ does not exist} \},$$

is called the *spectrum of  $x$* .

The following classical result can be found on page 6 of [14].

**Theorem** The following conditions on an element in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  are equivalent.

- (1)  $x$  is normal and  $Sp(x) \subset [0, \infty)$ .
- (2) There is a self-adjoint element  $y$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $x = y^2$ .
- (3) There is an element  $y$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $x = y^*y$ .
- (4)  $x$  is self-adjoint and  $\|ae - x\| \leq a$  for any  $a \geq \|x\|$ .
- (5)  $x$  is self-adjoint and  $\|ae - x\| \leq a$  for some  $a \geq \|x\|$ .

Classically, an element  $x$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is *positive* if it satisfies any of the five conditions (1)  $\sim$  (5).

In the classical theory, condition (1) plays an essential role. However, the spectrum of an element is not easy to treat constructively. In [7], there are Brouwerian examples that we cannot establish elementary properties of spectra which are obtained in classical mathematics. Hence, we need to modify the condition (1) of a positive element to show the equivalence in constructive  $C^*$ -algebra.

The spectrum  $\Sigma$  of a commutative Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  consists of all nonzero bounded multiplicative linear functionals. Each element in the spectrum  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called a *character*. With regard to commutative constructive  $C^*$ -algebras, the Gelfand representation theorem states that every commutative  $C^*$ -algebra is isomorphic to the space of continuous functions on its spectrum.

Note that the spectrum of a Banach algebra is compact classically. Constructively, this is not true for Banach algebras [6, 9], but it is true for  $C^*$ -algebras.

**Theorem 1** (Gelfand representation theorem [16]). *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $C(\Sigma)$  be the set of all complex valued continuous functions on the spectrum  $\Sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then there exists a norm preserving  $*$ -isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $C(\Sigma)$ .*

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $x$  a normal element in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $[\mathbf{e}, x]$  denotes the commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$  generated by  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $x$  and  $C(\Sigma)$  denotes the set of all complex valued continuous functions on the spectrum  $\Sigma$  of  $[\mathbf{e}, x]$ . For a norm preserving  $*$ -isomorphism  $\phi$  from  $[\mathbf{e}, x]$  onto  $C(\Sigma)$  (the existence of such  $\phi$  is assured by Theorem 1), we say that  $\phi(x)$  is a *name* of  $x$ . A name  $\phi(x)$  of  $x$  is nonnegative if it satisfies  $\phi(x)u \geq 0$  for all  $u \in \Sigma$ .

In the rest of the paper, unless otherwise specified we use the symbol  $\phi$  as a particular  $*$ -isomorphism from  $[\mathbf{e}, x]$  onto  $C(\Sigma)$ .

**Definition 3** (Positive element). An element  $x$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called *positive* if  $\phi(x)$  is nonnegative.

Since  $[\mathbf{e}, x]$  is defined for a normal element  $x$ , and the name  $\phi(x)$  of  $x$  is nonnegative, it is easy to see that a positive element is self-adjoint.

$\mathfrak{A}_{sa}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_+$  denote the set of all self-adjoint elements in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  and the set of all positive elements in  $\mathfrak{A}$  respectively. We also denote  $-\mathfrak{A}_+ = \{x \in \mathfrak{A} : -x \in \mathfrak{A}_+\}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_{+1} = \{x \in \mathfrak{A}_+ : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ . Let  $x, y$  in  $\mathfrak{A}_{sa}$ , then we write  $x \geq y$  for  $x - y$  is in  $\mathfrak{A}_+$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $x$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . The following conditions are equivalent constructively.*

- (1)  $x$  is a positive element in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .
- (2)  $x$  is a self-adjoint element and  $\|\mathbf{a}\mathbf{e} - x\| \leq a$  for some  $\|x\| \leq a$ .

By Lemma 2, we can show that if  $x, y$  are positive elements in  $\mathfrak{A}$  then  $x + y$  is also positive. Indeed,  $\|(\|x\| + \|y\|)\mathbf{e} - (x + y)\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ . So we take  $a = \|x\| + \|y\| \geq \|x + y\|$ .

Note that the following condition (i) is also equivalent to the definition of positivity.

$$(i) \quad x = x^* \text{ and } \| \|x\| \mathbf{e} - x \| \leq \|x\|.$$

Moreover, the condition (i) is equivalent to the following condition.

$$(ii) \quad x = x^* \text{ and } \| \mathbf{a}\mathbf{e} - x \| \leq a \text{ for all } \|x\| \leq a.$$

Indeed, it is clear that (ii) implies (i). Conversely, if  $x$  satisfies (i), then  $\| \mathbf{a}\mathbf{e} - x \| = \| (a - \|x\|)\mathbf{e} + \|x\|\mathbf{e} - x \| \leq a - \|x\| + \| \|x\| \mathbf{e} - x \| \leq a - \|x\| + \|x\| = a$ . So we have (ii).

The following comes from (1.4.1) on page 7 of [15]. We give a proof without using the spectrum of an element.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $x$  be a positive element in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then for any positive integer  $n$ , there exists a unique positive element  $y$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $y^n = x$ . This  $y$  is denoted by  $x^{1/n}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\phi(x)$  is nonnegative in  $\mathbf{C}(\Sigma)$ , we can define  $g(x)$  by  $\phi(x)^{1/n}$ . Then  $g(x)$  is also nonnegative and  $g(x) = g(x^*)$ . Thus  $\phi^{-1}(g(x))$  is a positive element of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Now we denote  $\phi^{-1}(g(x))$  by  $y$ . To see the uniqueness, assume that  $y'^n = x$  for some other  $y' \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ . Since  $y'x = xy'$  and  $[\mathbf{e}, x]$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}(\Sigma)$ ,  $y$  can be expressed by the limit of some polynomial of  $x$ . Then we have  $yy' = y'y$ . Let  $\phi'$  be a norm preserving  $*$ -isomorphism from  $[\mathbf{e}, y, y']$  onto  $\mathbf{C}(\Sigma')$ . By assumption,  $\phi'(y)^n = \phi'(y')^n = \phi'(x)$  and  $\phi'(y), \phi'(y')$  is nonnegative. Then  $(\phi'(y)^n - \phi'(y')^n)u = 0$  for any  $u \in \Sigma'$ . Thus  $(\phi'(y) - \phi'(y'))u = 0$ . Hence  $\phi'(y) = \phi'(y')$ . Since  $\phi'$  is a norm preserving  $*$ -isomorphism, we conclude that  $y = y'$ .

The proof of the following results holds constructively and can be found in [12].

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $x$  be a self-adjoint element in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then there exist unique positive elements  $x_+$  and  $x_-$  such that  $x = x_+ - x_-$ ,  $x_+x_- = 0$  and  $\|x\| = \max\{\|x_+\|, \|x_-\|\}$ .*

We say that  $x_+$  and  $x_-$  are *decompositions* of  $x$ .

We are now in position to give the constructive analogue of Theorem and the proofs can be found of [17].

**Theorem 5.** *The following conditions are equivalent constructively.*

- (1)  $x$  is a positive element in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ .
- (2) There is a self-adjoint element  $y$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $x = y^2$ .
- (3) There exists an element  $y$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $x = y^*y$ .
- (4)  $x$  is self-adjoint and  $\|a\mathbf{e} - x\| \leq a$  for any  $a \geq \|x\|$ .
- (5)  $x$  is self-adjoint and  $\|a\mathbf{e} - x\| \leq a$  for some  $a \geq \|x\|$ .

The following are some properties of elements in  $C^*$ -algebra. First, it is easy to show the equivalence  $x = 0$  and  $x^*x = 0$  (exercise 8.6 on page 54 of [20])

The classical counterpart of the next proposition holds constructively. For the sake of completeness, we will give the proof of the proposition.

**Proposition 6.** *For any elements  $x, y, z$  in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , the following properties hold:*

- (1) If  $x \geq y \geq 0$  then  $\|x\| \geq \|y\|$ .
- (2) If  $x \geq 0$  then  $\|x\|x \geq x^2$ .
- (3) If  $x \geq y$  then  $z^*xz \geq z^*yz$ .
- (4) If  $x \geq y \geq 0$  then  $x^{-1} \leq y^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* (1) It is easy to see that if  $x$  is positive then  $\|x\|\mathbf{e} - x$  is also positive. Suppose  $x \geq y \geq 0$ , then  $\|x\|\mathbf{e} - y$  is positive. Then,  $\phi(\|x\|\mathbf{e} - y) = \|x\| - \phi(y)$  is nonnegative. On the other hand  $\|y\| = \sup\{|\phi(y)u| : u \in \Sigma\}$ . Hence  $\|x\| \geq \|y\|$ .

(2) It is easy to see that  $\phi(\|x\|x - x^2)$  is positive and so  $\|x\|x - x^2$  is positive.

(3) Suppose  $x \geq y$ , then  $x - y$  is positive. By Theorem 7, we can write  $x - y = w^*w$  for some  $w$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then,  $z^*xz - z^*yz = z^*(w^*w)z = (wz)^*wz$ . Thus,  $z^*xz \geq z^*yz$ .

(4) Suppose  $x \geq y$ , then  $x^{-1/2}xx^{-1/2} \geq x^{-1/2}yx^{-1/2}$  by (3). Thus,  $\mathbf{e} \geq x^{-1/2}yx^{-1/2}$  and so  $1 \geq \|y^{1/2}x^{-1/2}\|$  by (1).

$\|y^{1/2}x^{-1}y^{-1/2}\| = \|y^{1/2}x^{-1/2}\| \|(y^{1/2}x^{-1/2})^*\| \leq 1$ . Therefore  $y^{1/2}x^{-1}y^{1/2} \leq \mathbf{e}$  and so  $x^{-1} \leq y^{-1}$ .

## 4 Powers of positive elements in constructive C\*-algebras

In [13], Ogasawara has shown that the following theorems that are about powers of positive elements in C\*-algebras. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a C\*-algebra. Then for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}_+$

- if  $0 \leq x \leq y$  then  $0 \leq x^{1/2} \leq y^{1/2}$
- if  $0 \leq x \leq y$  implies always  $0 \leq x^2 \leq y^2$  then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is commutative.

In [14], these statements are generalised as follows

- if  $0 \leq x \leq y$  then  $0 \leq x^\alpha \leq y^\alpha$  for any  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$
- if  $0 \leq x \leq y$  implies always  $0 \leq x^\alpha \leq y^\alpha$ , for some  $\alpha > 1$  then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is commutative.

However these proofs are not accepted in Bishop's constructive mathematics. In this section, we will show that these statement has constructive proofs in Bishop style with some modifications.

### 4.1 First case

First we will give a constructive proof of if  $0 \leq x \leq y$  then  $0 \leq x^\alpha \leq y^\alpha$  for any  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

First we need modify the property operator monotonicity, which is classically defined the term of spectrum. However, the spectrum of an element is difficult to treat in constructive mathematics. So we define operator monotonicity without using the term of the spectrum of an element.

**Definition 4** (operator monotone). An operator  $f$  on an interval in  $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is called operator monotone (increasing) if changing the domain  $[a, b]$  of  $f$  to  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then  $f$  can also be considered an operator on  $\mathfrak{A}$  with

$$x \leq y \implies f(x) \leq f(y)$$

whenever  $[-\|y\|, \|y\|] \subseteq [a, b]$  in  $\mathbb{R}$

Remark that the inverse-operator is operator monotone decreasing operator.

#### 4.1.1 An example of operator monotone

For any  $\alpha > 0$ , we define the function  $f_\alpha$  on  $(-1/\alpha, \infty)$  by

$$f_\alpha(t) = (1 + \alpha t)^{-1}t.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_\alpha$  is an example of an operator monotone increasing on  $(-1/\alpha, \infty)$ .

**Proposition 7.** *If  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  the function  $t \rightarrow t^\beta$  is operator monotone increasing on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .*

*Proof.* If  $0 \leq x \leq y$  then  $f_\alpha(x) \leq f_\alpha(y)$  with  $f_\alpha$  is defined above. Now consider the integration

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty f_\alpha(t)\alpha^{-\beta}d\alpha \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 + \alpha t)^{-1}t\alpha^{-\beta}d\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Put  $a = \alpha t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^\infty (1 + a)^{-1}ta^{-\beta}t^\beta t^{-1}da \\ &= t^\beta \int_0^\infty (1 + a)^{-1}a^{-\beta}da = \gamma t^\beta \end{aligned}$$

with some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . For all  $t \in [0, \|y\|]$  and  $\epsilon$  there is a large  $n$  and an equidistant division

$$0 = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_m = n$$

of the interval  $[0, n]$  such that

$$|t^\beta - (\gamma m)^{-1}n \sum_{k=1}^m f_{\alpha_m}(t)\alpha_k^{-\beta}| < \epsilon.$$

It follows that  $y^\beta - x^\beta \geq -2\epsilon$  and since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,  $x^\beta \leq y^\beta$

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $0 \leq x \leq y$  then  $0 \leq x^\alpha \leq y^\alpha$  for any  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .*

## 4.2 Second case

Next, we will give a constructive proof of second case.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $0 \leq x \leq y$  implies always  $0 \leq x^\alpha \leq y^\alpha$ , for some  $\alpha > 1$  then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is commutative.*



*Proof.* First remark that if  $\alpha$  preserves order then so does  $\alpha^n$ . Then using the previous theorem, we can take arbitrary  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  as the exponents. Therefore it suffices to prove the theorem with  $\alpha = 2$ .

Take  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}_+$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $x \leq x + \epsilon y$ , thus  $x^2 \leq (x + \epsilon y)^2$  by assumption.  $0 \leq xy + yx + \epsilon y^2$  for any  $\epsilon$ . we have

$$xy + yx \geq 0 \cdots (1)$$

Now put  $xy = a + ib$ ,  $a, b \in A_{sa}$ . Then  $2a = xy + yx \geq 0$ . Thus,  $a \in A_+$ . However (1) is valid for any product of positive elements and

$$x(yxy) = a^2 - b^2 + i(ab + ba) \cdots (2),$$

$$y(xyx) = a^2 - b^2 - i(ab + ba)$$

From which we have  $a^2 - b^2 \in A_+$ .

Consider the set

$$E = \{\alpha \geq 1 \mid \alpha b^2 \geq a, x, y \in \mathfrak{A}_+, xy = a + ib\}$$

Note that  $\alpha \in E$ , then  $E$  is nonempty. Now we fix an element  $\lambda \in E$ . Then  $a^2 - \lambda b^2 \geq 0$ . Recall that  $b \in \mathfrak{A}_{sa}$ , so  $b^2 \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ . Using (1) we have

$$0 \leq b^2(a^2 - \lambda b^2) + (a^2 - \lambda b^2)b^2 = b^2 a^2 + a^2 b^2 - 2\lambda b^4 \cdots (3)$$

Recall that  $a^2 - b^2 \geq 0$ ,  $ab + ba \in \mathfrak{A}_{sa}$  then  $(ab + ba)^2 \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ . With (2) and the form of the element in the set  $E$ . Therefore we now have

$$\lambda(ab + ba)^2 \leq (a^2 - b^2)^2$$

Thus,

$$\lambda(ab^2 a + ba^2 b + a(bab) + (bab)a) \leq a^4 + b^4 - a^2 b^2 - b^2 a^2$$

On the left hand side we have  $a(bab) + (bab)a \geq 0$  by (1) and  $ba^2 b \geq \lambda b^4$  by assumption and  $ab^2 a \geq 0$ . Finally, we have the following inequation

$$a^4 + b^4 - 2\lambda b^4 \geq a^4 + b^4 - a^2 b^2 - b^2 a^2 \geq \lambda b^4$$

Therefore

$$a^4 + (1 - 2\lambda)b^4 \geq \lambda^2 b^4$$

Thus,

$$(\lambda^2 + 2\lambda - 1)b^4 \geq a^4$$

Using the previous theorem we have,

$$(\lambda^2 + 2\lambda - 1)^{1/2} b^2 \geq a^2.$$

This shows that If  $\lambda \in E$  then  $(\lambda^2 + 2\lambda - 1)^{1/2}$  is also in  $E$ .

Now we consider the sequence  $\{a_i\}$  which is defined by

$$a_1 = \lambda, a_2 = (\lambda^2 + 2\lambda - 1)^{1/2}, \dots, a_{n+1} = (a_n^2 + 2a_n - 1)^{1/2}$$

Since  $a_n \geq (n+1)^{1/2}$ ,  $\{a_i\}$  is a divergence sequence. Therefore the set  $E$  is unbounded.

Thus,  $\alpha b^2 \leq a$  for any  $\alpha$ . It follows that  $b = 0$ . We conclude that  $xy = yx$  for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}_+$ .

Combine the facts that for any elements in  $\mathfrak{A}$  can be expressed by self-adjoint elements and the Lemma 4, we finally obtain that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is commutative.

## References

- [1] E. Bishop, Spectral theory for operators on a Banach space, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 86 (1957), pp. 414-445.
- [2] E. Bishop, Foundations of Constructive Analysis, McGraw-Hill, 1967.
- [3] E. Bishop and D. Bridges, Constructive Analysis, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [4] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson, Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 1, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [5] D. Bridges, Constructive Functional Analysis, Pitman, 1979.
- [6] D. Bridges, Constructive methods in Banach algebra theory, Mathematica Japonica, 52 (2000), pp. 145-161.
- [7] D. Bridges and H. Ishihara, Spectral of self-adjoint operators in constructive analysis, Indagationes Mathematicae, 7 (1996), pp. 11-35.
- [8] D. Bridges, F. Richman and P. Schuster, Adjoint, absolute values and polar decomposition, Journal of Operator Theory, 44 (2000), pp. 243-254.
- [9] R. Havea, Constructive Spectral and Numerical Range, PhD thesis, University of Canterbury, 2001.
- [10] H. Ishihara, On the constructive Hahn-Banach theorem, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 21 (1989), pp. 79-81.
- [11] H. Ishihara, Constructive compact operators on a Hilbert space, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 52 (1991), pp. 31-37.
- [12] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, vol 1,2, Academic Press, 1983.
- [13] T. Ogasawara, A Theorem on Operator Algebras, Journal of Science of The Hiroshima University, Ser A, vol 18, No. 3, 1955, pp. 307-309.
- [14] Gert K. Pedersen,  $C^*$ -algebras and their Automorphism Groups, Academic Press, 1979.

- [15] S. Sakai, *C\*-algebras and W\*-algebras*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [16] Bas. Spitters, *Constructive and intuitionistic integration theory and functional analysis*, PhD thesis, University of Nijmegen 2003.
- [17] H. Takamura, *An introduction to the theory of C\*-algebras in constructive mathematics*, in *From Sets and Types to Topology and Analysis: Towards Practicable Foundations for Constructive Mathematics*, eds L. Crosilla and P. Schuster, Oxford Logic Guides 48, chapter 18, pp. 280-292.
- [18] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [19] L. Viță, *The Constructive Theory of Operator Algebras*, PhD thesis, University of Canterbury, 2000.
- [20] K. Zhu, *An Introduction to Operator Algebras*, CRC press, 1993.

Hiroki Takamura  
Research Center for Verification and Semantics (CVS),  
National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST)  
5th Floor, Mitsui Sumitomo Kaijo Senri Bldg.,  
1-2-14 Shin-Senri Nishi, Toyonaka, Osaka,  
560-0083, Japan  
E-mail: takamura-h@aist.go.jp

構成的  $C^*$  環における正要素の冪について (in English)

(算譜科学研究速報)

発行日：2008年8月13日

編集・発行：独立行政法人 産業技術総合研究所 (システム検証研究センター)

同連絡先：〒560-0083 大阪府豊中市新千里西町 1-2-14 三井住友海上千里ビル 5F

TEL：06-4863-5025

e-mail：informatics-inquiry@m.aist.go.jp

本誌掲載記事の無断転載を禁じます。

Powers of positive elements in constructive  $C^*$ -algebras

(Programming Science Technical Report)

13 August 2008

(Research Center for Verification and Semantics (CVS))

National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST)

5F Mitsui Sumitomo Kaijo Senri Bldg., 1-2-14, Shinsenrinishi-machi, Toyonaka,

Osaka 560-0083 Japan

TEL：+81-6-4863-5025

e-mail：informatics-inquiry@m.aist.go.jp

• Reproduction in whole or in part without written permission is prohibited.